Rec'd PCT/PTO 14 APR 2005

ATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

REC'D 22 NOV 2004

WIPO

PCI

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference BET03P0927				FOR FURTHER AC	ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No. PCT/IB 03/04513				International filing date (c	day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/mor	nth/year)
	national Q1/68		nt Classification (IPC) or b	oth national classification a	nd IPC			
Applio INS		NA ⁻	TIONAL DE LA SANT	TE ET DE LA/				
1.	This i	interr ority a	national preliminary exa and is transmitted to the	mination report has been applicant according to A	n prepa Article 3	red by this Inte 6.	rnational Preliminary	Examining
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications r	elating to the following it	ems:			
	1	X	Basis of the opinion					
1	11		Priority					1 200
	111			f opinion with regard to n	ovelty,	inventive step	and industrial applica	ability
1	IV		Lack of unity of inven					. t. f. t U h Wh. u
	V		Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi ations supporting such sta	ith rega atemen	rd to novelty, li t	nventive step or indu	strial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents c					
İ	VII			e international application	1			
}	VIII			on the international app				
					·			
Date	e of sub	missi	on of the demand		Date o	of completion of i	his report	
10.	.03.20	04 /	N .		23,1	1.2004		
Nar	ne and	mailir	ng address of the internation	onal	Autho	rized Officer		SSENIOR PRODUCEDO
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo ni					Gabi	riels, J		
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016					Telep	hone No. +31 70	340-4282	The Other and All

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/04513

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

Description, Pages

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	1-24	4	as originally filed				
	Clai	ims, Numbers					
	1-1	I	as originally filed				
	Dra	wings, Sheets					
	1/2-	2/2	as originally filed				
2.	With	ith regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in th Inguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	ectide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
	\boxtimes	contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
	\boxtimes	filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
	×	The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/04513

5. 🛘	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-9 Claims No: 10,11 Yes: Claims Inventive step (IS) 1-9 Claims No: 10,11 Yes: Claims 1-11 Industrial applicability (IA) No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

٧. Reasoned statement (Continuation)

CITATIONS 2.1

Reference is made to the following documents:

- WO 02 36631 A (KAMOHARA MASAZUMI ;MATSUMOTO MITSUYUKI D1: (JP); SAITO TETSU (JP); OHI) 10 May 2002 (2002-05-10)
- DATABASE EBI [Online] EMBL; Homo sapiens 3 BAC RP11-25K24, 6 D2: March 2000 (2000-03-06) MUZNY DM ET AL: retrieved from EBI, accession no. EM_HUM:AC024886 Database accession no. AC024886 XP002242768
- WO 01 046454 A (COR THERAPEUTICS INC) 28 June 2001 (2001-06-D3: 28)
- HUMENY ANDREAS ET AL: 'Genotyping of thrombotic risk factors by **D4** MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry' CLINICAL BIOCHEMISTRY, vol. 34, no. 7, October 2001 (2001-10), pages 531-536, XP002229944 ISSN: 0009-9120
- CONLEY PAMELA ET AL: Unique mutations in the P2Y12 locus of D5 patients with previously described defects in ADP-dependent aggregation", BLOOD, 43rd Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology, Part 2, December 07-11, 2001, November 16, 2001, vol 98, no. 11 Part 2, page 43b, ISSN: 0006-4971
- MUKHERJEE DEBABRATA ET AL: 'Pharmacogenomics in cardiovascular D6: diseases' PROGRESS IN CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASES, vol. 44, no. 6. May 2002 (2002-05), pages 479-498, XP008025596 ISSN: 0033-0620

D4 and D6 are cited from the examiner's own knowledge. A copy of these documents is annexed to this communication.

(Art. 33(2) PCT) 2.2 NOVELTY

The simultaneous presence of the polymorphisms at positions 139 (T), 745 (C), 2.2.1 and 801(A) of the intron (SEQ ID NO:1), and at position 52 (T) of exon 2 (SEQ ID NO:2) of the P2Y12 receptor gene (haplotype H2) is indicative of a higher risk for developing thrombosis or peripheral arterial disease. The link between this haplotype and a higher risk for developing thrombosis or peripheral arterial disease is not known from the prior art D1-D6. In view of the prior art cited, claims 1-9

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**



appear to be novel and meet therefore the requirements of Art. 54 EPC.

- D1 discloses the use of PCR primers ABL59206 and ABL59207 to amplify a DNA 2.2.2 sequence encoding a human P2Y12 protein (cf example 1: P2TAC). These primers are suitable for amplifying the part of the P2Y12 gene sequence containing position 52 of exon 2 (SEQ ID No 2). These primers therefore fall within the scope of claim 11. In view of D1, claim 11 is not novel.
- D2 discloses an isolated nucleic acid (AC024886) encoding the P2Y12 receptor 2.2.3 and comprising all the polymorphisms of claim 10. D2 therefore falls within the scope of claim 10. In view of D2, claim 10 is not novel.
- 2.3 D3 discloses the use of PCR primers to amplify a cDNA sequence encoding a human P2Y12 (here called P2TAC) protein (cf figure 5). These primers are suitable for amplifying the part of the P2Y12 gene sequence containing position 52 of exon 2 (SEQ ID No 2). These primers therefore fall within the scope of claim 11. In view of D3, claim 11 is not novel.
- The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT 2.3.1 because the subject-matter of claims 10 and 11 is not new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).

2.4 **INVENTIVE STEP** (Art. 33(3) PCT)

- Document D4 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art for 2.4.1 independent claims 1 and 6 and discloses methods for determining a risk for developing thrombosis by determining the genotype of thrombotic risk factor genes. Individual risk of thrombotic disease is highly associated with allelic sequence variations in the blood clotting factor V (F5) and factor II (F2) genes (cf. page 531). The subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 6 differs from D4 in that the simultaneous presence of the polymorphisms at positions 139 (T), 745 (C), and 801(A) of the intron (SEQ ID NO:1), and at position 52 (T) of exon 2 (SEQ ID NO:2) of the P2Y12 receptor gene (haplotype H2) is indicative of a higher risk for developing thrombosis or peripheral arterial disease.
- 2.4.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing alternative gene polymorphisms indicative of a higher risk for developing thrombosis or peripheral arterial disease. The proposed solution is the





determination of the presence of the H2 haplotype of the P2Y12 receptor gene.

- Unique mutations in the P2Y12 locus of patients with previously described defects 2.4.3 in ADP-dependent aggregation are known from D5. However, the involvement of the H2 haplotype of the P2Y12 receptor gene in thrombosis or peripheral arterial disease is not known nor hinted to in D5 taken either alone or in combination with D4. The use the H2 haplotype to solve the problem of independent claims 1 and 6 would therefore not be obvious.
- 2.4.4 The subject-matter of dependent claims 2, 3, 7-9 depends on independent claims 1 and 6 and is therefore considered to satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.4.5 In view of the above, the subject-matter of claims 1-3, 6-9 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-3, 6-9 involves an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT).
- 2.4.6 Document D6 is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art for independent claim 4 and discloses methods for determining the sensitivity of a subject to treatments for cardiovascular diseases. The subject-matter of independent claim 4 differs from D6 in that the simultaneous presence of the polymorphisms at positions 139 (T), 745 (C), and 801(A) of the intron (SEQ ID NO:1), and at position 52 (T) of exon 2 (SEQ ID NO:2) of the P2Y12 receptor gene (haplotype H2) is indicative of a lower sensitivity for thienopyridine therapy.
- 2.4.7 The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing alternative gene polymorphisms indicative of a lower sensitivity for thienopyridine therapy. The proposed solution is the determination of the presence of the H2 haplotype of the P2Y12 receptor gene.
- Unique mutations in the P2Y12 locus of patients with previously described defects 2.4.8 in ADP-dependent aggregation are known from D5. However, the involvement of the H2 haplotype of the P2Y12 receptor gene in a lower sensitivity for thienopyridine therapy is not known nor hinted to in D5 taken either alone or in combination with D6. The use the H2 haplotype to solve the problem of independent claim 4 would therefore not be obvious.
- Claim 5 depends on independent claim 4 and is therefore considered to satisfy the 2.4.9



criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.

- 2.4.10 In view of the above, the subject-matter of claims 4 and 5 meets the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because their subject-matter involves an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT).
- 2.4.11 In view of the above, the present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 10 and 11 does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT).

2.5 **MISCELLANEOUS**

Although claims 1 and 6 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they 2.5.1 appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the terminology used for the features of that subjectmatter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection. Hence, claims 1 and 6 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.